

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/706,327	11/12/2003	Charles D. Lennox	MED03-11	6437
75	590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Barry W. Chapin, Esq.			JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
CHAPIN & HU Westborough O	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1700 West Park			3739	
Westborough,	MA 01581		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/706,327	LENNOX ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Henry M Johnson, III	3739			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 I	March 2005.				
2a) <u></u>						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	•		;		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-19,23-38,41-44,4</u> Claim(s) <u>1,2,20,21,39 and 40</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>53-56</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>45,46,49 and 50</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	7 <u>,48,51 and 52</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2004</u> is/are: a					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			11		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			1).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apports documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>061404 092004 0131</u> .		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 17, line 17, it is stated that the jets are formed on the outer wall. On page 18, line 27 it is stated the jets are on the inner wall.

On page 18, line 20, the word be should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 45 and 46 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 1 and 2. Claims 49 and 50 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 20 and 21. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent 6,406,447 to Thrash et al. Thrash et al. discloses a device for delivery of a treatment fluid (liquid) from a reservoir (Fig. 1, # 18) to a treatment site (abstract) using a delivery pump (Fig. 1, # 14) that provides the liquid to a flexible containment member that may be modified to enclose virtually any treatment site (Col. 10, lines 16-17). The hood configuration (Fig. 2) is capable of use with tissue and clearly has an inner surface, an outer surface and an edge. The containment member further is disclosed as having recovery channels around an edge in communications with a suction pump (Fig. 1, # 16) for retrieval of the liquid and sealing the member to the site (abstract). The device is capable of cooling by using a cooled liquid in the reservoir, although cooling is an intended use not critical to the structure of the invention. A plurality of delivery ports (jets) is disclosed (Col. 10, lines 21-22). Ports for the aspiration channel are disclosed (Fig. 4A, #34). A system is disclosed with a reservoir, delivery pump (positive gage pressure) and a suction pump (negative gage pressure) with the

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 55 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,406,447 to Thrash et al. Thrush et al. is discussed above, but does not disclose a housing with the reservoir and pumps. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a housing because Applicant has not disclosed that a housing

provides advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with or without a housing because such a housing has no significant impact on the structure and no impact on the function.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 20, 21, 39 and 40 are allowed.

Reasons For Allowance

The prior art of record does not disclose or fairly suggest a device for cooling a head with fluid channels and jets for providing a fluid to a head and two sealing members defining an aspiration channel therebetween that, in conjunction with a suction source, both seals and removes fluid from a treatment area. Thrash et al. teach a device for fluid delivery and removal from a treatment area with structures that can be interpreted as two seals; however, the unique arrangement of an aspiration channel formed between the two seals is missing. The aspiration channel of the invention is unique and could provide an improved sealing function to a head.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M Johnson, Ill whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,327

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Henry M. Johnson, III

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739